110/4062. Ack

Sandra Hutchings

From:

MICHAEL PALMER

Sent:

03 March 2014 17:35

To:

DPD

Subject:

Proposed extra development of Hemyock

Dear sirs

I object to your plans for Hemyock as it cannot cope with the extra people or cars that will be added.

The roads at the moment are difficult now to negotiate so it will become impossible to drive or even walk around Hemyock. the recent floods have shown that it is nye impossible to get out of the village so building more homes is not the way forward. What we actual need before you can consider any houses is to improve the roads. The present means of getting rid of sewerage is very problematic so adding to it would not be a good idea. The school is very small so it could not cope with the influx of more children which will come with new houses. The existing doctors surgery is very stretched now so how is it going to cope with nearly 200 extra family's.

I have recently retired early and would not enjoy all the extra building works and the destruction of the beautiful countryside around Hemyock. there ae many more reasons but to numerous for this e mail.

I live at 6 Hollingarth way Hemyock and my e mail address is this e mail.

EXIS 3XB.

Regards Michael Palmer



| Customer Feedback | | | Design Survey | Collect Responses | Analyze Results |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|-----------------|
| View Summary | Default Report | | | | |
| Browse Responses | | | | | |
| Filter Responses | Displaying 47 of 152 respondents | | | | |
| Crosslab Responses | | | | | |
| Download Responses | Response Type: Normal Response | Collector; Web Link (Web Link) | | | |
| Share Responses | Custom Value: empty | IP Address: 213 120.51 54 | | | |
| | Response Started: Monday, March 3, 2014 4:39.29 PM | Response Modified Monday, March 3, 20 | | | |
| | Do you agree with the Vision and Spatial Strategy? | | | | |
| | Yes | | | | |
| | No | | | | |
| | At the moment Hemyock is very stretched a the bottom of the village has flooded so bad area of outstanding beauty and have seen il doctors surgery is so busy that you have to anymore traffic we only have 2 shops so wh is no work to be had in the village which will traffic chaos. The school is to small as well. | I this year i have not been able to toeing eroded by house building wait a long time to see anyone, hen people park it is a nightmare tonly mean that more people will | to get out. I moved g We cannot suppo Also the main stree to negotiate The v ill have to commute | here 25 years ago to an ort anymore building. The et station road cannot la Mage is overflowing. The otherefor adding to the | ı Ə İke |
| | 2. Draft Policies S1 and S2 set out the Council's approach and priorities for sustainable development. What is your opinion about these policies? | | | | |
| | Object | | | | |
| | See above | | | | |
| | 2033). The first option is to contin their current sizes and roles. The new community. A separate quest indicate your opinion in principle options we should consider? | second option is to provide tion deals with the location | de for long-tern on of a new com | n growth (post-2026 imunity, but please | in a |
| | Option 2: Focus development after 2026 in a new community | | | | |
| | 2. If the Council chooses to pursue Option 2 under Policy S3 (the new community option), which location would you prefer and why? | | | | |
| | Option 2(b) - East Culliompton (east of Junction 28) | | | | |
| | Policies S4-S6 are strategic hou housing across the district, the proper space to be provided, and the support these policies or have support these policies. | roportion to be affordable ne Council's approach to e | and adaptable. | , the amount of pub ng delivery. Do you | olic |
| | Object | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 2. Economy and Infrastructure po propose 154,000 square metres of (2013-2033) and set out the Counc support these policies or have su | f commercial floorspace (cil's approach to town cer | including retall | ling) over the plan p turcture delivery. D | period |
| | Object | | | | |
| | 1. Policy S10 seeks to sustain the quality of Mid Devon's environmental assets and minimise the effects of development on climate change. Do you support this policy and do you have suggestions about how it could be improved? | | | | |
| | Object | | | | |
| | 2. Policies S11-S15 set out strategic policies for the towns, villages and countryside. Including expected annual rates of development for the towns which can vary depending on the options discussed under Policy S3 (amount and distribution of development). Do you support these policies and do you have suggestions about how they can be improved? | | | | |
| | Object | | | | |

1. The Site Allocations section of the Local Plan Review options document includes numerous options for development sites in the towns and villages. These exceed the amount of development likely to be required, but the Council wishes properly to consider all the available sites before making decisions on which are most appropriate. Please tell us your opinion on specific sites in this chapter of the plan, continuing on a separate sheet if necessary. Providing detailed reasons for any objections will help us evaluate and compare the suitability of different options.

This area should not be spoilt anymore than what we already have

2. The Council adopted its Development Management Local Plan (LP3) in October 2013. This plan is included in the options consultation without modification, but pages 102-107 explain where amendments or new policies are being considered. Do you have anything to say about the amendments or new policies being considered, or comments about the existing adopted policies included within the consultation document?

No Response

1. NOTE: RESPONSES CANNOT BE REGISTERED WITHOUT A NAME AND ADDRESS Name

Michael Palmer

2. Please provide your postal address

House No - 6

Address 1 - Hollingarth Way

Town - Hemyock

Postcode - EX15 3XB

