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| Client: | Amory CH Trust. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ref no: | 13.062.1.TCP.rep |
| Site details: | Land considered for the Tiverton Urban Extension, <br> East Tiverton, Devon. |
| Date of site inspection: | May 2013. |
| Report Author: | Doug Pratt <br> BSc (Hons.) For., F. Arbor A. <br> Devon Tree Services Ltd. |
| Surveyor: | Daniel Vickridge <br> TechArborA ND ARB NCH ARB. |
| Proposal: | Unspecified. |

### 1.0 Instruction and purpose of survey and report

1.1 This report follows from a preliminary tree survey of land to the north and south of Blundell's Road, Tiverton.
1.2 The tree survey and this report make reference to BS5837:2012 (Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations), as a base level for consideration during early design stages, as requested and instructed by Mr N. Jones of Chesterton Humberts Estate Agents.
1.3 The area of survey is that as outlined in red on the sketch plan referenced as '04-05-2013(2).pdf', supplied by Mr. Jones (Figure 1, page 8).

### 2.0 The scope of the report - methodology \& limitations

2.1 The tree survey process consisted of a 'walk over' inspection only, without the benefit of a topographical survey. Therefore tree positions are not accurately plotted, but have been indicated on the accompanying tree location plans.
2.2 Limitations of use and copyright: All rights in this report are reserved. No part of it may be reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature, without our written permission. Its content and format are for the exclusive use of the addressee in dealing with this site. It may not be sold, lent, hired out or divulged to any third party not directly involved in this site without the written consent of Devon Tree Services Ltd.

### 3.0 Site location

3.1 The land subject to the survey is located approximately 3.5 m east from

Tiverton town centre, and covers approximately 150 acres. The site borders the A361 North Devon link road to the north, and extends nearly as far south as the Grand Western Canal. To the west the site edges school playing fields, whereas to the east the site borders other agricultural land. The present site use is arable, and encompasses fields, some of which are under cultivation.
3.2 The surveyed area has been divided into four sections; North Section, Central Section, South West Section, and South Section, each of which has been individually mapped and has a corresponding tree species list. The sections are as follows;

1. North Section: Three fields encompassing 32 acres to the south of the A361 and north of Blundell's Road. Part of this section borders residential properties of Pool Anthony Road and Uplowman Road.
2. Central Section: Nine fields of nearly 80 acres, to the south of Blundell's Road and north and east of West Manley Lane.
3. South West Section: Land associated with Pool Anthony Farm, consisting of 38 acres over approximately six fields.
4. South Section: An individual field to the south of the path along the disused railway (included in the South West Section acreage). The west boundary of the South Section borders residential properties of Westcott Road. The South Section has not been completely surveyed and plotted, due to the presence of livestock.

### 4.0 Nature of tree stock

4.1 The tree survey schedules on pages 11 to 16 give general information about the trees and their grading according to BS5837:2012. BS5837 requires a survey of any tree population to identify four categories of trees; ' A ': trees that are highly desirable to retain, ' $B$ ': good quality trees, ' $C$ ': and trees of low quality or limiter further contribution. Trees marked 'U' (Unsuitable for retention) should be removed due to their condition and/or life expectancy. The system assesses not only tree health and condition, but other factors such as their long-term impact on adjacent structures and good arboricultural management. Details of the categorisation system are provided on page 10.
4.2 The majority of trees grow from, on, or adjacent to the hedgerows partitioning the site, or from the site boundaries. Almost all are 'native' species, although one or two 'exotics' are located in residential gardens where bordering the site. These have been included where off-site trees could be implicated by potential development.
4.3 The most significant trees in terms of prominence and contribution to landscape and amenity are the large, mature Oaks and Ash growing as standard trees from hedgebanks. These are in the central, south, and south west sections, with the largest and oldest trees concentrated in the central and south west sections. Some are approaching 'veteran', status, with three

1 Definition of a veteran tree, developed by the Ancient Tree Forum, is a tree 'that is of interest biologically, aesthetically or culturally because of its age, size or condition'.

Oaksl at present identified as a veteran (T16, T35 and T39). The larger Oaks in particular are categorised as ' $A$ ' trees due to their contribution to local ecology, landscape value, and further longevity. As areas become allocated for development, other trees may also be classified as veteran trees subject to more detailed individual tree inspections.
4.4 The bulk of trees are categorised as ' $B$ ', and are semi mature and mature individuals, usually of less presence than the ' A ' trees, and most of which have the potential to achieve the higher category as they continue to develop. Nearly all trees in the north section are categorised a 'B' trees.
4.5 Many of the lower category trees consist of multiple stems arising from 'stools', or stumps, which have been worked in the past; either by felling or by being managed within general hedgerow maintenance. Consequently, many are formed from numerous stems which have acute unions between them and therefore could become structurally unstable in the long term, without further management. These are noted as 'lapsed coppice stools' in the survey notes by the surveyor. Where these trees have significant structural defects which could become problematic in the medium term, they have been categorised as ' $C$ ' trees.

### 5.0 Root protection areas (RPAs):

5.1 BS 5837:2012 makes recommendations for the provision of areas around trees where their roots should be protected, known as Root Protection Areas, or RPAs, expressed in square meters. For any tree, BS5837 prescribes this area according to a formula ${ }^{2}$ using stem diameter measurements of the trees in question. RPAs for individual trees are listed within the tree survey schedules.
5.2 Site features such as surfacing, built structures, banks and ditches can influence the rooting distribution of trees. Where the site borders roads, the presence of impervious surfacing will influence the rooting distributions of the adjacent trees nearby. It is also noted that some of the fields in the site are ploughed right up the field edge; this will in effect remove all roots to a depth of approximately 200 mm . Theoretically some roots could be extending from the trees into the sub soil of the fields below this depth. If it can be established what cultivation (depth of ploughing) has been applied how frequently and for how long, a better understanding of the rooting distributions for the affected trees might be gained.
5.3 The survey data table gives the radial distances for root protection for individual trees, and for individual stems comprising the tree groups, using the recommendations of BS5837. The radial distances may be applied to the tree groups as construction exclusion zones (CEZ) or as 'buffer' zones, where it is recommended to avoid excavations, ground level changes, storage of materials and vehicle movements during construction.

Section 4.6, pages 10 and 11.

## Considerations:

6.1 Construction damage: It is recommended to avoid deep excavations within the areas as described above (5.3). However, light structures and narrow minor roads or drives may be considered as acceptable within these areas subject to sufficient detail over construction specification and methodology.
6.2 Shade: The larger trees will cast shade to the north west, north and east. Should residential development occur within 25 m of fully mature trees, or 20 m of semi-mature trees (to take into account further growth), shading and daylight issues should be considered by the layout. Indicative shadow paths can be plotted to inform potential layouts, but this will require accurate locations for the trees necessitating a topographical survey.
6.3 Domination: Where buildings particularly residential dwellings are placed within the 'falling zone' of a tree, the perceived threat can lead to increased pressure for their removal or pruning. In order to avoid unsustainable juxtaposition between trees and buildings adequate buffers will be required; the larger the tree, the greater the distance. It is recommended that non residential components such as car parking, bin stores and garages are closer to trees rather than actual living areas.

### 7.0 Tree protection measures

7.1 During actual construction, tree protection barriers are recommended to be erected around the RPAs for the trees, and the buffer areas for the tree groups, facing the area of construction activity. The area enclosed by the fencing is to be designated as a construction exclusion zone, within which there is to be no changes in existing ground levels, storage of materials, or transit of machinery which could cause ground compaction.
7.2 It is also recommended that the following precautions are also adhered to to minimise the potential for damage to trees:
a) Ensure wide or tall loads or plant with booms, jibs and counterweights can operate without coming into contact with the tree canopies. Any transit or traverse of plant in close proximity to trees should be conducted under the supervision of a banksman to ensure that adequate clearance from trees is maintained at all times.
b) Material which will contaminate the soil, e.g. concrete mixings, diesel oil and vehicle washings, should not be discharged within 10 m of a tree stem.
c) It is essential that allowance be made for the slope of the ground so that damaging materials such as concrete washings, mortar or diesel oil cannot run towards trees.
d) Fires should not be lit in a position where their flames can extend to within 5 m of foliage, branches or trunk. This will depend on the size of the fire and the wind direction.
e) Notice boards, telephone cables or other services should not be attached to any part of the trees.
8.1 Unspecified development is under consideration for land to the east of Tiverton, between the North Devon link road and the Grand Western Canal. This report presents the results of a 'walk over' inspection for the site made with reference to 5837:2012 (Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations). The survey has categorised the general quality of trees which are listed in accompanying tree survey schedules and plotted approximately on the tree locations plans provided.
8.2 The site encompasses agricultural fields; to the north and south of Blundell's Road, and around Pool Anthony Farm to the south west. Most trees grow adjacent to or on hedgerows within the site and forming the site boundaries.
8.3 The tree population is limited in species diversity, consisting mainly of Oak and Ash, with Beech and Elm also present. Most trees are within groups of similar species, size and age, but there are many large individual standard trees. The most valuable trees are large late mature Oaks, some of which are at or approaching veteran status. Numerous trees are formed from multiple stems, which, without ongoing management, could become vulnerable to collapse in the long term.
8.4 As and when sections of the overall site become designated for development, more detailed tree survey work may be undertaken and detailed Tree Constraints Plans (TCP) may be produced, which will inform Tree Protection Plans (TPP) via Arboricultural Impact Assessments (AIA), when layouts become available. Thereafter suitable TPPs may be produced for the significant trees on site to adequately protect them during building phases and hence not cause damage to them due to construction processes.
signed: D. P. Pratt
Dated: $24^{\text {th }}$ June 2013.

DEVON TREE SERVICES LTD


Figure 1: Extract from '04-05-2013(2)' showing extent of survey outlined in red. (Not to Scale)

## Walk over tree survey information;

SURVEY KEY: Abbreviations and categories used in the survey are as follows:
All dimensions have been estimated.

| Tree No. | Tag number and corresponding number on plan. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Species. | Common name and botanical name in italics. |
| Height (Ht.). | Estimated height. |
| Stem diameter. <br> (Dia.). | Diameter in millimetres at 1.5m above ground level. B <br> indicates a basal measurement. Ol indicates where stem <br> diameter has been measured over Ivy. |
| Branch spread. | Estimated on the four compass points. For tree groups the <br> degree of spread into the site is estimated. "os" in lower case <br> indicates extent of tree canopy 'over site' (os). |
| Height of crown <br> clearance (HCC). | The height to the lowest branch attachments |
| Age Class. | Young (Y). <br> Semi mature (SM). <br> Mature (M). <br> Over Mature (OM). <br> Veteran (V). |
| Condition: <br> Physiological and <br> Structural. | Good. <br> Fair. <br> Poor. <br> Dead. <br> In addition specific diseases, defects or faults are described. |
| Action and/or <br> comments. | Recommendations for tree work where observed as <br> necessary, including further investigations of suspected <br> defects which may require more detailed assessment. If blank <br> no works are recommended. |
| ERC: Estimated <br> remaining <br> contribution in years. | Less than 10 years. <br> 10-20 years. <br> $20-40$ years. <br> More than 40 years. |
| Cat: Category <br> Grading <br> (BS5837). | or A, B, C. |
| Root Protection Area <br> (RPA). | The root protection in $m^{2}$, as area and radial distance as <br> measured from the centre of the tree stem. Where an * is <br> present the R.P.A. cannot be achieved due to ground <br> constraints, or it is located outside the site. |


Trees unsuitable for retention (see Note)

Category U

Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than
10 years

|  | 1 Mainly Arboricultural values | 2 Mainly landscape values | 3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trees to be considered for retention |  |  |  |  |
| Category A <br> Those of high quality and value: such a condition as to be able to make a substantial contribution (a minimum of 40 years is suggested) | Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual, or essential components of groups, or of formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue) | Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features | Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture) | LIGHT GREEN |
| Category B <br> Those of moderate quality and value: those in such a condition as to make a significant contribution (a minimum of 20 years is suggested | Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation | Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality | Trees with material conservation or other cultural value | MID BLUE |
| Category C <br> Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm | Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories | Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits | Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value | GREY |

## NORTH SECTION TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tree } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Tree species | Height(m) | Diameter (mm) | CS |  |  |  | HCC <br> (m) | Age Class | Condition | Comments and recommendations | ERC | Cat | Radial RPA <br> (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA } \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | E | S | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 | Beech | 13 | 270 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | SM | Good | Off site. | 40 | B | 3.2 | 33 |
| T2 | Ash | 15 | $5 \times 300$ | 40 s |  |  |  | 2 | M | Fair | Off site. | 40 | B | 8.0 | 203 |
| G3 | Beech, Oak | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 400- \\ & 900 \end{aligned}$ | 60 S |  |  |  | 2 | M | Good | Off site on bank. | 40 | B | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 4.0- \\ 11.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41- \\ & 366 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| G4 | Ash | To 14 | 300 ave. | 40s |  |  |  | 2.5 | M | Unknown | Basal decay on coppice stool, dense undergrowth preventing inspection other side of bank. | $\begin{aligned} & 20- \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | B | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \text { per } \\ & \text { stem } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 41 \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { stem } \end{aligned}$ |
| T5 | Oak | 12 | 900 |  |  |  | 60 s | 2.5 | M | Fair/Poor | Off site, lost central leader. | 20 | B | 10.8 | 366 |
| G6 | Beech | To 14 | 400-600 |  | 50 s |  |  | 2 | M | Unknown | On bank. | 40 | B | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0- \\ & 7.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 72- \\ & 163 \end{aligned}$ |
| G7 | Ash | 10 | $5 \times 250$ |  | $20 s$ |  |  | 3 | M | Unknown | On bank, coppice stool - recoppice. | $\begin{aligned} & 20- \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | C | 7.0 | 141 |
| G8 | Sycamore, Elm | 8 | 300/250 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | SM | Good | Hedgerow tree, remove Elm. | 40 | B | $4.0 \text { per }$ stem | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 41 / \\ & \text { stem } \end{aligned}$ |
| T9 | Sycamore | 9 | 2x275 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | SM | Good | Hedgerow tree. | 40 | B | 5.0 | 68 |
| G10 | Ash | 9 | 6x250 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | SM | Fair | Hedgerow tree, re-coppice. | $\begin{aligned} & 20- \\ & 40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | B | 7.0 | 170 |
| T11 | Beech | 11 | 300 |  |  |  | 30 s | 4 | SM | Unknown | On bank, off site? | 40 | B | 4.0 | 41 |
| T12 | Oak | 13 | 300-500 |  |  |  | 50 s | 2 | M | Unknown | On bank, off site? | 40 | B | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0- \\ & 6.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 41- \\ & 113 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| G13 | Sycamore, Oak, Ash, Leyland Cypress | To 11 | 300 ave |  |  |  | 40 s | 2 | SM | Unknown | On bank. |  | B | 4.0 | 41 |
| T14 | Oak | 14 | 800 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |  | M | Good | On bank. | 40 | B | 10.0 | 290 |
| G15 | Ash | To 11 | $8 \times 300$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | SM | Fair | On bank, coppice stool. | 20 | B | 10.0 | 326 |
| T16 | Monterey Cypress 'Lutea' | 13 | 450 | 10s |  |  |  |  | SM | Good | On bank. | 20 | B | 5.4 | 92 |
| G17 | Oak | 11 | 800 | 40s |  |  |  |  | M | Fair | In garden, off site. |  | B | 10.0 | 290 |
| G18 | Ash | To 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 250- \\ & 350 \end{aligned}$ | 2 s |  |  |  | 2 | SM | Fair | Off site. | $\begin{aligned} & 20- \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | B | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0- \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28- \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ |


| Tree No | Tree species | Height(m) | Diameter (mm) | CS |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HCC } \\ & (\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | Age Class | Condition | Comments and recommendations | ERC | Cat | Radial RPA <br> (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA } \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | E | S | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T19 | Ash | 15 | 500 | 40 s |  |  |  | 5 | M | Good | On bank, crown lifted. | $\begin{aligned} & 20- \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | B | 6 | 113 |
| G20 | Ash, Beech, Oak | to 15 | 500 | 9os |  |  |  | 3 | M | Fair | On bank. | 40 | B | 6 | 113 |
| T21 | Oak | 15 | 750 | 30 s |  |  |  | 8 | M | Fair | On bank, crown reduced. | 20 | B | 9 | 254 |
| T22 | Oak | 12 | 500 | 60 s |  |  |  | 4 | SM | Good | On bank. | 40 | B | 6 | 113 |

CENTRAL SECTION TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tree } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Tree species | Height <br> (m) | Diameter$(\mathrm{mm})$ | CS |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HCC } \\ & \text { (m) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Age Class | Condition | Comments and recommendations | ERC | Cat | Radial RPA <br> (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA } \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | E | S | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 | Oak | 8 | 400 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | SM | Good |  | 40+ | B | 5.4 | 92 |
| T2 | Ash | 10 | 5×150 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | SM | Satisfactory at present | Lapsed coppice stool. | 20 | B | 4 | 51 |
| T3 | Oak | 10 | 420 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | SM | Good |  | 40 | B | 5 | 81 |
| T4 | Ash \& Elm | 10 | $5 \times 200$ | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 2 | SM | Satisfactory at present | Lapsed coppice stool. | 20 | C | 5.5 | 90 |
| T5 | Ash | 16 | 7x250 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | M | Satisfactory at present | Multiple stems with acute unions. | 20 | C | 8 | 200 |
| G6 | Oak | 20 | Var. | 8 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 2.5 | M | Satisfactory at present | Three trees. | 40 | A |  |  |
| T7 | Oak | 17 | 1250 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 3 | M | Satisfactory at present |  | 40 | B | 15 | 707 |
| T8 | Oak | 17 | 1300 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | M | Good |  | 40 | A | 15 | 707 |
| T9 | Oak | 18 | 1200 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7.5 | 3 | M | Good | Dieback throughout crown extents, small leaf size \& chlorosis. | 40 | A | 14.5 | 652 |
| G10 | Ash | 18 | 700 ave. |  | 8 | - | - | - | M | Satisfactory at present |  | 20 | B |  |  |
| T11 | Beech | 15 | 2×500 | - | 8 | - | - | - | M | Satisfactory at present |  | 40 | B | 8.5 | 226 |
| T12 | Oak | 16 | 900 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 2.5 | M | Satisfactory at present |  | 40 | A | 11 | 366 |
| T13 | Ash | 10 | $5 \times 250$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | SM | Satisfactory at present | Lapsed coppice stool. | 20 | B | 6.7 | 141 |
| T14 | Oak | 18 | 1000 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | M | Good |  | 40 | A | 12 | 452 |
| T15 | Oak | 18 | 1100 | 7 | 8 | 8.5 | 8 | 3 | M | Good |  | 40 | A | 13.2 | 547 |
| T16 | Oak | 15 | 1600 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | V | Good |  | 40 | A | 15 | 707 |
| G17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oak(x3) } \\ \operatorname{Beech}(x 2) \end{gathered}$ | 20 | 600 ave. | - | - | - | 8 | 3 | M | Good |  | 40 | A |  |  |
| G18 | Oak, Beech, Ash | 20 | 600 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 3 | M | Satisfactory at present |  | 20 | B |  |  |
| T19 | Oak | 20 | 1200 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 3 | M | Good |  | 40 | A | 14.5 | 652 |
| G20 | Oak | 16 |  | 4 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 3 | M | Good |  | 40 | A |  |  |
| T21 | Oak | 17 | 1200 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 4 | M | Good |  | 40 | A | 14.5 | 652 |
| T22 | Oak | 20 | 1300 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 4 | M | Fair Storm damage, bat roost <br> potential, management likely. |  | 20 | B | 15 | 707 |
| G23 | Oak | 16 | 1200 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 4 | M | Good |  | 40 | A | 15 | 707 |


| Tree No | Tree species | Height <br> (m) | Diameter (mm) | CS |  |  |  | HCC <br> (m) | Age Class | Condition | Comments and recommendations | ERC | Cat | Radial RPA <br> (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA } \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | E | S | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G24 | Ash | 10 | $3 \times 300$ | 3 | - | 5 | 3 | 3 | SM | Satisfactory at present | Multiple stems with acute unions. | $\begin{array}{r} 20- \\ 40 \end{array}$ | B | 6.2 | 122 |
| T25 | Oak | 10 | 500 | 5 | - | 5 | 5 | 3 | SM | Good |  | $\begin{array}{r} 20- \\ 40 \end{array}$ | B | 6 | 113 |
| G26 | Ash | 12 | $4 \times 300$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | SM | Fair | Lapsed coppice stool. | 20 | B | 7 | 163 |
| G27 | Ash | 17 | 6x300 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | M | Fair | Lapsed coppice stool. | $\begin{gathered} 20- \\ 40 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | B | 15 | 707 |
| T28 | Ash | 16 | $6 \times 300$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | M | Fair | Lapsed coppice stool. | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | B | 15 | 707 |
| G29 | Ash | 15 | 300 per stem | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | M | Fair | Row of lapsed coppice stools. | $\begin{array}{r} 20- \\ 40 \end{array}$ | B | 13.5 | 580 |
| T30 | Oak | 15 | 2x800 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 4 | M | Fair | Two stems. | 40 | A | 3.5 | 41 |
| T31 | Ash | 15 | 750 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 2 | M | Fair |  | 40 | B | 9 | 255 |
| G32 | Ash | 14 | 200-350 | 5 | 4 | - | 4 | 2 | SM | Good |  | 40 | B | 5.5 | 90 |
| T33 | Ash | 11 | $5 \times 200$ | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | SM | Fair | Lapsed coppice stool. | $\begin{array}{r} 20- \\ 40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | B | 5.5 | 90 |
| T34 | Ash | 13 | $5 \times 250$ | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | SM | Fair | Lapsed coppice stool. | 20 | B | 6.7 | 141 |
| T35 | Oak | 17 | 1300 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 5 | V | Poor | Distal dieback \& deadwood. | 20 | B | 15* | 707* |
| G36 | Ash | 11 | MS | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | SM | Fair | Row of coppiced stools. | 20 | C | - | - |
| T37 | Oak | 11 | 700 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 | M | Fair | Localised dieback upper canopy. | <40 | B | 8.5 | 222 |
| T38 | Elm | 15 | 2×530 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 3 | M | Fair |  | 20 | B | 9 | 254 |
| T39 | Oak | 20 | 1400 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | $3+$ | V | Good |  | 40+ | A | 15 | 707 |
| G40 | Oak, Beech | 20+ | 400 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 0 | SM | Fair |  | 20 | B | 12* | 452 |
| G41 | Ash | 14 | 300 avg . | 6 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | SM | Fair | Lapsed coppice stool with acute unions. | 20 | B | 9.0* | 244 |
| G42 | Ash | 14 | 250 avg. | 6 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | SM | Fair | Lapsed coppice stool with acute unions. | 20 | B | 7.5* | 197 |
| T43 | Oak | 16 | 800 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | M | Good |  | 40 | A | 9.5* | 290/stem |
| G44 | Ash | 18 | 250 avg. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | SM | Fair | Lapsed coppice stool with acute unions. | 20 | B | 7.5* | 197 |
| G45 | Willow Ash | 8 | 250 avg. | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | SM | Fair | Lapsed coppice stool with acute unions. | 20 | B | 7.5* | 197 |
| G46 | Oak | 20 | 800 max. | 5 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 0-2 | M | Fair | Standards with understory. | 40 | A/B | 9.5* | 290/stem |
| G47 | Oak, Ash | 14 | 300 avg . | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | SM | Fair |  | 20 | B | 9.0* | 244 |

## SOUTH WEST SECTION TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE

| Tree No | Tree species | Height <br> (m) | Diameter (mm) | CS |  |  |  | HCC <br> (m) | Age Class | Condition | Comments and recommendations | ERC | Cat | Radial RPA <br> (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA } \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | E | S | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 | Oak | 11 | 750 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 | M | Good |  | 40 | A | 9 | 255 |
| T2 | Beech | 17 | 1000 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 3 | M | Good |  | 40 | A | 12 | 452 |
| T3 | Beech | 12 | 2x300 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | M | Poor | Dieback upper north canopy. | 20 | C | 5 | 81 |
| T4 | Horse Chestnut | 13 | 700 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | M | Good |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 20- \\ 40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | B | 8.5 | 222 |
| T5 | Field Maple | 10 | $3 \times 300$ | 4 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 1 | M | Fair | Multiple stems with acute unions. | 20+ | B | 6 | 122 |
| T6 | Ash | 15 | 5x250 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 2 | M | Fair |  | 20+ | B | 6.7 | 141 |
| G7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oak, Ash, } \\ & \text { Elm } \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 400 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | SM | Good | Unmanaged hedge with 'maiden' Oaks. | 40 | B | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \mathrm{per} \\ & \text { stem } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \mathrm{per} \\ & \text { stem } \end{aligned}$ |
| G8 | Oak | 17 | 1200 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 2 | M | Fair | Declining vigour. | 40 | B | 14.5 | 651 |
| T9 | Oak | 15 | 600 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 | M | Poor | Dieback throughout crown extents, small leaf size \& chlorosis. | 20 | C | 7.2 | 163 |
| G10 | Oak | 20 | 2x1400 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 2 | M | Good |  | 40 | A | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \mathrm{per} \\ & \text { stem }^{\star} \end{aligned}$ | 707 per stem ${ }^{*}$ |
| G11 | Oak, Willow, Hawthorn | 15 | 400 | 6 | $-$ | - | $-$ | 1 | M | Good | External to site boundaries. | 40 | B | - | - |
| G12 | Oak | 24 | 1400 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 2 | M | Good |  | 40 | A | 15 | 707 |
| G13 | Oak, Beech | 23 | 1200 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | - | M | Fair | Basal decay \& chlorotic crown. | 20+ | B | 14.5 | 561 |
| T14 | Oak | 12 | 600 | 10 | - | - | - | 1 | SM | Good |  | 40 | A | 7 | 163 |
| G15 | OaK | 25 | 1400 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 | M | Good |  | 40 | A | 16 | 707 |
| G16 | Oak, Hawthorn | 16 | 1000 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0 | SM | Good | Row of Hawthorn with large Oaks. | 40 | A | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \mathrm{per} \\ & \text { stem } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 452 \\ \text { per } \\ \text { stem } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| G17 | Alder, Willow | 16 | 500 avg | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | M | Fair |  | 20+ | B | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \mathrm{per} \\ & \text { stem } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 113 \\ \text { per } \\ \text { stem } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| G18 | Alder | 15 | $3 \times 300$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | M | Good | Adjacent to watercourse. | 20+ | B | 6.2 | 122 |


| Tree No | Tree species | Height(m) | Diameter (mm) | CS |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HCC } \\ & (\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | Age Class | Condition | Comments and recommendations | ERC | Cat | Radial RPA <br> (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA } \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | E | S | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G20 | Oak | 20 | 500 avg | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | SM | Good | Hazel understory. | 40 | B | 6 per stem | $\begin{aligned} & 113 \\ & \text { stem } \end{aligned}$ |
| G21 | Oak | 20 | 1200 avg | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 2 | M | Fair | Declining vigour. | 40 | B | $14.5 \text { per }$ stem | 651 <br> per <br> stem |
| T22 | Oak | 14 | 450 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 2 | SM | Fair |  | 40 | B | 5.5 | 92 |
| G23 | Oak, Alder | 18 | 700 avg | 5 | 7 | 4 | - | 4 | M | Good |  | 40 | B | 8.5 | 222 |
| T24 | Ash | 14 | 5x270 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | M | Fair | Lapsed coppice stool. | 20 | C | 7.2 | 165 |
| G25 | Oak | 20 | 1200 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 3 | M | Good |  | 40 | A | 14.5 | 561 |
| T26 | Oak | 20 | 1200 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | M | Good |  | 40 | A | 14.5 | 561 |

SOUTH SECTION TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE

| Tree No | Tree species | Height <br> (m) | Diameter (mm) | CS |  |  |  | HCC <br> (m) | Age Class | Condition | Comments and recommendations | ERC | Cat | Radial RPA <br> (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPA } \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | E | S | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G1 | Oak Beech Ash | 20+ | 600-1000 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 2 | M/V | Good to fair |  | 40 | A | 12.0 | 452/stem |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { G2, } \\ & \text { G3, } \\ & \text { G4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Oak <br> Beech Ash | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | M | - | Inspection not possible due to livestock | 40 | A | - | - |

